

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/735,479	12/14/2000	Kenichi Watanabe	001620	8362
75	90 01/21/2003			
ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN, HATTORI, McLELAND & NAUGHTON Suite 1000 1725 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006			EXAMINER	
			PERALTA, GINETTE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
G ,			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2003	

DITTE NETHELD: 01/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Office Action Commence	09/735,479	WATANABE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ginette Peralta	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh t with the correspond nce addr ss Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 October 2002</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 19-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 19-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>30 October 2002</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	pplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 10				

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 10/30/02. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uglow et al. in view of Chung et al. (U. S. Pat. 6,184,142 B1).

Uglow et al. teaches in Fig. 10B a semiconductor device that comprises an underlie 100 having a conductive region 122 in a surface layer of the underlie 100; an insulating etch stopper film 102′ covering a surface of the underlie 100; an interlayer insulating film (104′-106′) formed on the insulating etch stopper film 102′; a wiring trench formed in the interlayer insulating film, the wiring trench having a first depth from a surface of the interlayer insulating film; a contact hole extending from a bottom surface of the wiring trench to a surface of the conductive region through a remaining thickness of the interlayer insulating film and through the insulating etch stopper film

Art Unit: 2814

102'; and a dual damascene wiring layer 302 embedded in the wiring trench and in the contact hole; wherein the interlayer insulating film includes a first kind of insulating layer 106' surrounding a side wall and the bottom surface of the wiring trench and a second kind of insulating layer 104' disposed under the first kind of the insulating layer 106' and having etching characteristics different from the first kind of the insulating layer.

Uglow et al. teaches all the limitations in the claim with the exception of the contact hole having a portion whose cross sectional area gradually increases toward an upper level in the first kind of insulating layer.

Chung et al. teaches in Fig. 3G (Prior Art) a semiconductor device that includes a contact hole having a portion whose cross sectional area gradually increases toward an upper level in the first kind of an insulating layer, wherein the gradual increase is taught as the device is formed by an anisotropic etching of the insulating layer, and the gradual increase would be an inherent property of the structure formed by this process.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a contact hole having a gradual increase toward an upper level as Chung et al. teaches that this is well known and conventional in the art, and an inherent result of a well-known process such as anisotropic etching of the insulating layer.

Regarding claim 7, Uglow further teaches the second kind of the insulating layer 104' being disposed on the insulating etch stopper 102' and has a thickness thinner than the first depth.

Art Unit: 2814

3. Claims 3-6, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uglow et al. in view of Chung et al. and further in view of Tsai et al. (U. S. Pat. 6,319,814 B1).

Uglow et al. teaches in Fig. 10B a semiconductor device that comprises an underlie 100 having a conductive region 122 in a surface layer of the underlie 100; an insulating etch stopper film 102' covering a surface of the underlie 100; an interlayer insulating film (104'-106') formed on the insulating etch stopper film 102'; a wiring trench formed in the interlayer insulating film, the wiring trench having a first depth from a surface of the interlayer insulating film; a contact hole extending from a bottom surface of the wiring trench to a surface of the conductive region through a remaining thickness of the interlayer insulating film and through the insulating etch stopper film 102'; and a dual damascene wiring layer 302 embedded in the wiring trench and in the contact hole; wherein the interlayer insulating film includes a first kind of insulating layer 106' surrounding a side wall and the bottom surface of the wiring trench and a second kind of insulating layer 104' disposed under the first kind of the insulating layer 106' and having etching characteristics different from the first kind of the insulating layer.

Regarding claims 3 and 6, Uglow et al. teaches all the limitations in the claims and further including the use of fluorosilicate glass (FSG) as layer 104′, and silicon nitride as layer 102′, and with the exception of the interlayer insulating film including a third kind of an insulating layer under the second kind of the insulating layer 104′, the

Art Unit: 2814

third kind of the insulating layer having etching characteristics different from the second kind of the insulating layer.

Tsai et al. teaches a semiconductor device that includes a dual damascene structure and further including a layer 206 of silicon nitride, an undoped oxide layer 208 overlying the layer 206 of silicon nitride, and a layer 210 of fluorosilicate glass(FSG) overlying the layer 208; wherein the undoped oxide layer 208 is underlying the fluorosilicate glass layer 210 and overlying the silicon nitride layer 206, and the USG layer 208 has a thickness thinner than a first depth, for the disclosed intended purpose of changing the surface condition between the stop layer 206 and the FSG layer 210, and eliminating the surface dependence between the stop layer 206 and the FSG layer 210, and resulting in a FSG layer 210 having a uniform thickness and improved reliability (Col. 3, Il. 42-54).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form a third kind of insulating layer under the second kind of insulating layer as Tsai et al. teaches for the disclosed intended purpose of obtaining a second insulating layer having a uniform thickness and improved reliability, furthermore regarding the limitation of the third insulating layer having etch characteristics different from the second insulating layer, it is noted that the selectivity in etching characteristics will depend in the etchant chemistry utilized, and that as the materials of the second and third insulating materials are different, the materials will have different etching characteristics.

Art Unit: 2814

Regarding claim 4, Uglow et al., as modified by Tsai et al., teaches all the limitations in the claim with the exception of the contact hole having a portion whose cross sectional area gradually increases toward an upper level in the first kind of insulating layer.

Chung et al. teaches in Fig. 3G (Prior Art) a semiconductor device that includes a contact hole having a portion whose cross sectional area gradually increases toward an upper level in the first kind of an insulating layer, wherein the gradual increase is taught as the device is formed by an anisotropic etching of the insulating layer, and the gradual increase would be an inherent property of the structure formed by this process.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a contact hole having a gradual increase toward an upper level as Chung et al. teaches that this is well known and conventional in the art, and an inherent result of a well-known process such as anisotropic etching of the insulating layer.

Regarding claim 5, Uglow et al. teaches the second kind of the insulating layer is capable of functioning as an etch stopper while the first kind of the insulating layer is etched, and the contact hole has a substantially same cross sectional shape from a bottom surface of the second kind of the insulating layer to the surface of the conductive region.

Regarding claims 19-24, Uglow et al. as modified by Chung et al. discloses a shoulder at the upper portion which extends from the bottom surface into the second kind of the insulating layer, wherein the shoulder is smoothly continuous with the

Art Unit: 2814

bottom surface, and formed by the etching from above and from the contact hole. Furthermore, it would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that formation of the shoulder is a result of the etching process used and that such a structure would result in a better conformal deposition of the conductive layers than when sharp edges and corners are present in the structure.

Regarding claims 25 and 26, Uglow et al. discloses that the first and second kinds of insulating layers include one or more of silicon dioxide, fluorinated silica glass, silicon nitride, and carbon doped oxide. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any of the materials taught by Uglow et al. as long as the layers are of different materials as taught by Uglow et al., since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 11/6/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regards to applicant's argument that the formation of the shoulder depends on whether the via is etched prior to the etching of the trench and that Uglow et al. discloses the etching of the trench prior to the etching of the via, it is noted that the claims are directed to a device not to the method of making the device, and that the

Art Unit: 2814

structure of Uglow et al. as modified by Chung et al. discloses a structure of a dual damascene having a slanted shoulder portion.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ginette Peralta whose telephone number is (703)305-7722. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00 AM- 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703)308-4918. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 2814

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

GP

January 13, 2003

NE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000